Vocalization is a conspicuous anuran communication behavior usually used for reproductive and territorial purposes (Duellman and Trueb, 1994), but some frogs may emit different calls during courtship and defense against predation (Wells, 2007). Bogert (1960) defined the sound produced by frogs during the attack of predators as “distress call,” and its function seems to be alerting neighbors to the danger and surprising the predator, thereby allowing the prey to escape (Wells, 2007).

The Blacksmith Treefrog, *Hypsiboas faber* (Wied-Neuwied, 1821), is a tropical hylid with a wide distribution in western to southern Brazil and southeastern Paraguay and northeastern Argentina (Frost, 2011). Its reproduction is associated with the rainy season (Bertoluci, 1998; Bertoluci and Rodrigues, 2002a; Canelas and Bertoluci, 2007; Narvaes, Bertoluci and Rodrigues, 2009) when males build small mud pools for egg deposition (Martins and Haddad, 1988). The natural history and call repertoire of *H. faber* are relatively well known (Martins and Haddad, 1988; Martins, 1993; Martins, Saizima and Egler, 1993; Bertoluci and Rodrigues, 2002b; Narvaes, Bertoluci and Rodrigues, 2009). Martins and Haddad (1988) described the distress call of *H. faber* by shaking male frogs and compressing them in their hands.

The present paper describes a variation of the distress call emitted during a natural attack of the snake *Liophis miliaris* (Linnaeus, 1758) in a typical reproductive habitat in the Estação Biológica de Boracéia, an Atlantic Forest reserve located in the state of São Paulo, southeastern Brazil (23°38′16.33″ S, 45°50′24.89″ W; 890 m altitude). Details on the climate and vegetation of the study site are in Heyer et al. (1990) and Bertoluci and Rodrigues (2002a).

The predation attempt was recorded at 21:30 hr on 21 October 2010 in the vicinity of a pond, where several frog species were vocally active. The snake was found biting the right leg of a male *H. faber* that tried to escape by holding onto the grass vegetation with their hands. During predation event, the frog emitted a sequence of conspicuous vocalizations, just like a “cry” (Fig. 1). The frog tried to escape forward and the snake, with its tail tightly wrapped around the vegetation, pulled it back and swallowed the whole leg of the frog. The interaction lasted approximately 40 min, and the snake eventually released the prey, probably because the frog was too large to ingest.

The distress calls emitted by the treefrog were recorded with a digital Marantz PMD660 recorder and YOGA EM 9600 microphone (48 KHz and 16-bit resolution). The calls were analyzed in Raven 1.3 software for Windows, with FFT (Fast Fourier Transformation) = 256 and overlap = 50. The snout–vent length of the treefrog was about 100 mm; after measuring the frog, it was released. Some scars were noticed along its right leg. The snake was about 60 cm total length.

Contrary to the distress call described by Martins and Haddad (1988), the call we recorded did not show modulation and occupied much lower frequencies, with minimum frequency averaging 93.4 ± 26.3 Hz (n = 10), maximum frequency averaging 1279 ± 141.4 Hz (n = 10), and dominant frequency averaging 548.6 ± 54.8 Hz (n = 10) (Fig. 1). The distress call described by Martins and Haddad (1988) occupied band frequencies between 1300 and 4900 Hz. The vocalization described in the present paper averaged 0.7 ± 0.2 s (n = 10), with intervals of 20 ± 27 s (n = 10), and averaged 85.5 ± 22.4 pulses per call (n = 10). These values do not differ greatly from those of Martins and Haddad (1988), who registered call duration between 0.5 and 1.0 s and a high number of repetition pulses per call.

The differences in call properties found by Martins and Haddad (1988) and us may be associated with...
different temperatures when the call was recorded. Martins and Haddad (1988) recorded the call when the air temperature was 21°C (11°C in the present paper).
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